



ACADEMIC
PRESS

Available at
WWW.MATHEMATICSWEB.ORG
POWERED BY SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Journal of Approximation Theory 121 (2003) 287–291

JOURNAL OF
**Approximation
Theory**

<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jat>

Bounds for zeros of the Laguerre polynomials

Ilia Krasikov

Brunel University, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Uxbridge UB8 3PH, UK

Received 29 January 2002; accepted 29 January 2003

Communicated by Mourad Ismail

Abstract

We establish new inequalities on the extreme zeros of the Laguerre polynomials which are uniform in all the parameters involved.

© 2003 Elsevier Science (USA). All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In this note, we establish explicit bounds, uniform in k and α , on the extreme zeros of the Laguerre polynomials $L_k^{(\alpha)}(x)$. For our purposes, it will be convenient to define them as a nonzero polynomial solution of

$$xy'' = (x - \alpha - 1)y' - ky. \quad (1)$$

A well-known upper bound for the largest zero of $L_k^{(\alpha)}(x)$, provided $|\alpha| \geq \frac{1}{4}$, $\alpha > -1$, is

$$x_k < (\sqrt{4k + 2\alpha + 2} - 6^{-1/3}(4k + 2\alpha + 2)^{-1/6}i_{11})^2, \quad (2)$$

where i_1 is the smallest zero of the Airy function [11], and, as Szegő pointed out, the constant $6^{-1/3}i_{11} = 1.85575\dots$, cannot be replaced by a smaller one. As a matter of fact (not as well known as it should be) the last claim is true only if α is fixed. The best currently known inequality for the least zero belongs to Ismail and Li [6]. They proved that for $\alpha > -1$, all the zeros of $L_k^{(\alpha)}(x)$ are in the interval

$$2k + \alpha - 2 \pm \sqrt{1 + 4(k-1)(k+\alpha-1) \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{k+1}}. \quad (3)$$

E-mail address: mastiik@brunel.ac.uk.

Surprisingly enough, it seems that the general asymptotic of the extreme zeros (uniform in α) is still unknown. Some related results for α varying with k can be found in [1,2,5]. Here we use a technique suggested in [4,8] to prove the following sharper inequalities.

Theorem 1. *Let x_1 and x_k be the least and the largest zeros of $L_k^{(\alpha)}(x)$, respectively. For $k \geq 7$, $\alpha \geq 8$, the following inequalities hold:*

$$x_1 > s - r + \frac{(s - r)^{2/3}}{2r^{1/3}}, \tag{4}$$

$$x_k < s + r + \frac{(s + r)^{2/3}}{2r^{1/3}}, \tag{5}$$

where

$$s = 2k + \alpha + 1, \quad r = \sqrt{4k^2 + (2k - 1)(2\alpha + 2)}.$$

More precisely, all the zeros of $L_k^{(\alpha)}(x)$ are confined between the only two real roots of the following equation:

$$(x^2 - 2sx + b^2 - 1)^3 - 4sx^3 + 9s^2x^2 + (b^2 - 1)(b^2 - 1 - 6sx) = 0, \tag{6}$$

where $b = \alpha + 2$.

It looks plausible that, up to the factor $\frac{1}{2}$, Theorem 1 gives the correct value of the second term of the corresponding asymptotics.

2. Proofs

A real entire function $\phi(x)$ is in the Laguerre–Polya class $\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}$ if it has a representation of the form

$$\phi(x) = cx^m e^{-\alpha x^2 + \beta x} \prod_{k=1}^{\omega} \left(1 + \frac{x}{x_k}\right) e^{-x/x_k} \quad (\omega \leq \infty),$$

where c, β, x_k are real, $\alpha \geq 0$, m is a nonnegative integer and $\sum x_k^{-2} < \infty$. Our main tool will be the following inequality valid for any $f \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}$ [7,9,10],

$$V_m(f(x)) = \sum_{j=-m}^m (-1)^{m+j} \frac{f^{(m-j)}(x)f^{(m+j)}(x)}{(m-j)!(m+j)!} \geq 0, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots \tag{7}$$

We will use $m = 2$ and set

$$V = 12V_2(y) = 3y''^2 - 4y'y''' + yy^{(4)}.$$

Notice that in our case, the positivity (and a plausible connection with the potential theory) can be seen directly by $V = \sum_{i \neq j} (x - x_i)^{-2}(x - x_j)^{-2}$, where x_1, x_2, \dots are the zeros of y [3].

In the sequel, we deal with the function $t = t(x) = y'/y$, and set b , r , and s as in Theorem 1 to simplify some expressions. We also assume $x > 0$. Using differential equation (1) recursively to express the higher derivatives in V through y and y' we get

$$\frac{2x^3}{y^2} V = At^2 + 2Bt + C, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= -2x(x^2 - 2sx + (b-1)(b+3)), \\ B &= x^3 - (2s+b-1)x^2 + (2bs-3s+(b-1)(b+3))x + b - b^3, \\ C &= (b-s-1)(x^2 - 2sx - x + b^2 + b). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that A is positive only for x in the interval (x_m^a, x_M^a) ,

$$x_{m,M}^a = 2k + \alpha + 1 \pm 2\sqrt{k^2 + \alpha k + k - \alpha - 1}.$$

Let also $x_m^c < x_M^c$ be the roots of C .

For the discriminant of the equation $At + 2Bt + C = 0$, in t we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x) &= B^2 - AC \\ &= (x^2 - 2sx + b^2 - 1)^3 - 4sx^3 + 9s^2x^2 + (b^2 - 1)(b^2 - 1 - 6sx) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

that is exactly expression (6).

We split the proof into several lemmas. We used Mathematica for symbolic calculations.

Lemma 1. *The equation $\Delta(x) = 0$ has exactly two real roots $x_m^* < x_M^*$, provided $k \geq 2$ and $\alpha > -1$. Moreover, $x_m^c < x_m^a < x_m^* < x_M^* < x_M^a < x_M^c$, if $k \geq 7$, $\alpha \geq 8$.*

Proof. The discriminant surface of (9) (i.e. the domain of parameters where the equation has multiple zeros) is given by the equation

$$\begin{aligned} &(2k^2 + 2ak + 2k - a - 1)(a + 2)^2 \\ &\times (k(k-1)(k+a+1)(k+a+2)(a+1)(a+3))^3 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $k > 1$ and $a > -1$, the number of real roots does not depend on a and k . Therefore, it is enough to check the claim for $k = 2$, $a = 0$, i.e. for the equation

$$x^6 - 30x^5 + 309x^4 - 1200x^3 + 1152x^2 - 360x + 36 = 0,$$

what is straightforward. Since $C/k - A/x = x + a - 1$, the zeros of $A/x = 0$ are confined between the zeros of $C = 0$, for $\alpha \geq 1$. To prove $x_m^a < x_m^* < x_M^* < x_M^a$, we consider the resultant of Δ and $A/2x$ in x ,

$$\text{Result}(\Delta, A/2x) = (1 + \alpha)^2(1 - \alpha - \alpha^2 + \alpha^3 + 7s^2 - \alpha s^2)^2.$$

Since it does not vanish for $k \geq 7$, $\alpha \geq 8$, it will be enough to check the claim for $k = 7$, $\alpha = 8$. As in this case both $\Delta(x_m^a), \Delta(x_M^a) > 0$, we are done. \square

Lemma 2. For $k \geq 7$, $\alpha \geq 8$, all the zeros of $L_k^{(\alpha)}(x)$ are confined in (x_m^*, x_M^*) , between the only two real roots of the equation $\Delta(x) = 0$.

Proof. We prove $x_m^* < x_1$, the inequality $x_k < x_M^*$ is similar. Let $t_1 = t_1(x)$ be the solution of $At^2 + 2Bt + C = 0$, given by $t_1 = \frac{-B + \sqrt{B^2 - AC}}{A}$. Then for $\alpha \geq 1$, t_1 is a continuous function on $(0, x_m^*]$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} t_1 = -\infty$. The first claim follows from $B^2 - (B^2 - AC) = AC > 0$, on rewriting t_1 as $\frac{-C}{B + \sqrt{B^2 - AC}}$. The second one is trivial. On the other hand, by $V_1(y) = -y^2 t'(x) \geq 0$, $t(x)$ is a continuous decreasing function on $[0, x_1)$, tending to $-\infty$ for $x \rightarrow x_1^{(-)}$. It does not intersect the solutions of $At^2 + 2Bt + C = 0$, in particular t_1 . By the previous lemma this is possible only if $x_m^* < x_1$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. By the previous lemma it is enough to show that inequalities (4) and (5) hold for x_m and x_M , respectively. Since

$$s - r + \frac{(s - r)^{2/3}}{2r^{1/3}} < s < s + r + \frac{(s + r)^{2/3}}{2r^{1/3}},$$

and

$$\Delta(s) = -(s^2 - b^2 + 1)((s^2 - b^2)^2 - 3s^2 - b^2) < 0,$$

to prove (4) we just check

$$\Delta\left(s \pm r + \frac{(s \pm r)^{2/3}}{2r^{1/3}}\right) > 0.$$

Calculations yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{64r^6}{q^4} \Delta\left(s - r + \frac{(s - r)^{2/3}}{2r^{1/3}}\right) \\ &= q^8 - 32q^5r - 60q^6r^{4/3} - 32q^2r^2 + 96q^3r^{4/3} \\ & \quad + 240q^4r^{8/3} + 144r^{10/3} + 192qr^{11/3}, \end{aligned}$$

where $q = (s - r)^{1/3}$. Since, as it is easy to check, $r^2 > s + r > q^3$, and so

$$q^5r < qr^{11/3}, \quad q^6r^{4/3} < q^4r^{8/3}, \quad q^2r^2 < r^{10/3},$$

we convince that the above expression is positive. Hence (4) follows.

The proof of (5) is similar using $r^2 > s + r$, we omit the details. \square

3. Final remarks

It is worth noticing that one can use as well the corresponding three-term recurrence instead of differential equation (1). Patrick [10] used (7) to obtain the

Turan-type inequalities, which have essentially the same form

$$U_m(f(x)) = \sum_{j=-m}^m (-1)^j \frac{p_{k-j}(x)p_{k+j}(x)}{(m-j)!(m+j)!} \geq 0, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and hold for polynomials and entire functions having generating function $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i \frac{z^i}{i!}$, of the Laguerre–Polya class. This is the case for the Laguerre polynomials as they have a generating function of the form

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{L_i^{(\alpha)}(x)}{L_i^{(\alpha)}(0)} \frac{z^i}{i!} = \frac{J_{\alpha}(2\sqrt{xz})}{(xz)^{\alpha/2}} \Gamma(\alpha + 1) e^z, \quad \alpha > -1.$$

With $m = 2$, it gives practically the same bounds as Theorem 1.

References

- [1] L.-C. Chen, M.E.H. Ismail, On asymptotics of Jacobi polynomials, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 22 (1991) 1442–1449.
- [2] H. Dette, W.J. Studden, Some new asymptotic properties for zeros of Jacobi, Laguerre and Hermite polynomials, *Constr. Approx.* 11 (1995) 227–238.
- [3] K. Dilcher, K.B. Stolarsky, On a class of nonlinear differential operators acting on polynomials, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 170 (1992) 382–400.
- [4] W.H. Foster, I. Krasikov, Explicit bounds for Hermite polynomials in the oscillatory region, *London Math. Soc. J. Comp. Math.* 3 (2000) 307–314.
- [5] W. Gawronski, Strong asymptotics and the asymptotic zero distributions of Laguerre $L_n^{(\alpha)}$ and Hermite $H_n^{(\alpha)}$ polynomials, *Analysis* 13 (1993) 29–67.
- [6] M.E.H. Ismail, X. Li, Bounds on the extreme zeros of orthogonal polynomials, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 115 (1992) 131–140.
- [7] J.L.W.V. Jensen, Recherches sur la theorie des equations, *Acta Math.* 36 (1913) 181–195.
- [8] I. Krasikov, Nonnegative quadratic forms and bounds on orthogonal polynomials, *J. Approx. Theory* 111 (2001) 31–49.
- [9] M.L. Patrick, Some inequalities concerning Jacobi Polynomials, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 2 (1971) 213–220.
- [10] M.L. Patrick, Extension of inequalities of the Laguerre and Turan type, *Pacific J. Math.* 44 (1973) 675–682.
- [11] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, Vol. 23, 4th ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Providence, RI, 1975.